

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/978,599	10/16/2001	Rony A. Abovitz	ZKT 2 0014	5128
7590 01/31/2005			EXAMINER	
Thomas E. Kocovsky, Jr.			JUNG, WILLIAM C	
FAY, SHARPE	, FAGAN, MINNICH &	McKEE, LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Superior Avenue			3737	
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/978,599	ABOVITZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William Jung	3737
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	<u>ıne 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 2-15 and 17-39 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-15 and 17-39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the	r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	, (PTO 412)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the length of the abstract is over 15 lines or 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-15 and 17-39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-15 and 17-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kienzle, III et al* (US 6,285,902) in view of *Martinez* (US 4,577,629).

Kienzle, III et al substantially disclose all claimed features in claims 2-15 and 17-39.

Claims 2, 5, 7-11, 20, 23, 30, 31, 38, and 39: Kienzle, III et al disclose a method and apparatus where an imaging guided surgery system with computer includes an image guided surgery software that provides an minimal user functionality by having specific software enabled to apply preselected surgical procedure and software-integrated kit for instrumented tools and digital medium with image guided surgery software to facilitate the performance of the surgical procedure and a tracking system to locate the surgical tools via display used in conjunction with the computer (col. 4, line 16 – col. 5, line 13; col. 6, lines 25-35; col. 8, line 14 – col. 9, line 44).

Application/Control Number: 09/978,599

Art Unit: 3737

However, Kienzle, III et al do not disclose that the surgical tools are disposable kit. It is well known in the art that the surgical tools or instruments are disposable for safety reason where the tools are discarded to disposed until the tools are sterilized as shown by Martinez for example. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Martinez's disposable surgical tools to the method and system of Kienzle, III et al described above.

Claims 3, 4, 6, 12-15, 17-19, 21, 23, 24-29, and 32-37: Kienzle, III et al disclose a mobile cart 125 that holds computer 121, 124 and display 122 which receive and display digital data obtained from the imaging unit 112 as shown in figure 1. In addition, the image-guided system includes position-monitoring sensor 123 tracking the position and movement of the surgical tools. Furthermore, Kienzle, III et al disclose image mapping of the where image guidance is referenced from the image coordinate correlated to position sensor and tracking device (col. 11, line 31 – col. 12, line 20).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-4739. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,599

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

μ (3 January 9, 2005

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 4